



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/071,771	02/06/2002	Sreen A. Raghavan	M-11923-2P US	3609

22852 7590 12/28/2005

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER
LLP
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413

EXAMINER

TRAN, PABLO N

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2685

DATE MAILED: 12/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/071,771

Applicant(s)

RAGHAVAN ET AL.

Examiner

Pablo N. Tran

Art Unit

2685

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 September 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4, 10-17 and 32-41 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 5-9 and 18-31 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/28/05, 09/24/05
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 11-17 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because dependent claim 11 depends on claim 12 and dependent claim 12 depends on claim 11. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 11-17 not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-4 and 32-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chan (6,259,745) in view of Wang (5,822,368).

As per claims 1-2, 36, and 41, Chan discloses a transmission system (fig. 1) having a plurality of receivers and each of the plurality of receivers receiving signals from one of a plurality of transmission bands (col. 28/ln. 30-34) and a cross-channel interference canceller (fig. 2/no. 25, 26, 27, col. 7/ln. 66-col. 8/ln. 30). Chan disclosed such receiver but not explicitly the configuration of the receiver. However, Wang disclosed such receiver's configuration of having a down-converter (fig. 5/no. 510) , a

Art Unit: 2685

filter (fig. 5/no. 590), an AD converter (fig. 5/no. 515), an equalizer (fig. 5/no. 570), and a decoder (fig. 3/no. 310, 315). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time to provide such receiver of Wang to the transmission system of Chan to provide a more reliable transmission system wherein error rate is reduced.

As per claims 32 and 37-38, the modified transmission system of Chan further discloses the canceller provides transfer functions coupled between pairs of channels so that each channels can be corrected for cross-channel interference (col. 7/ln. 66-col. 8/ln. 30, col. 11/ln. 63-col. 12/ln. 15).

As per claims 33 and 39, the modified transmission system of Chan further discloses the transfer function includes one or more delays (col. 7/ln. 66-col. 8/ln. 30, col. 11/ln. 63-col. 12/ln. 15, col. 12/ln. 45-56).

As per claims 34 and 40, the modified transmission system of Chan further discloses the coefficients are adaptively chosen (col. 7/ln. 66-col. 8/ln. 30, col. 11/ln. 63-col. 12/ln. 15, col. 12/ln. 45-56).

As per claims 35, the modified transmission system of Chan further discloses the operating frequency is adjusted to match the corresponding transmitter transmission band (col. 7/ln. 66-col. 8/ln. 30, col. 11/ln. 63-col. 12/ln. 15, col. 12/ln. 45-56).

4. Claims 3-4, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chan (6,259,745) in view of Wang (5,822,368) and further in view of Baker (6,163,563).

As per claim 3, the modified transmission system of Chan does not explicitly disclose that the in-phase signal being an input signal multiplied by a cosine function and the quadrature signal being an input signal multiplied by a sine function. However, such claimed limitation is well known, as taught by Baker (col.4/ln. 58-col. 5/ln. 11). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time to provide such method of Baker to the modified transmission of Chan to utilize such usefulness of communication system in equipment employing integrated circuits and provide such advantage of reducing circuitry complexity.

As per claim 4, the modified transmission system of Chan in view of Baker further disclosed an in-phase filter (see Baker, fig. 1/no. 42) and a quadrature filter (see Baker, fig. 1/no. 44).

As per claim 10, the modified transmission system of Chan in view of Baker further disclosed a first AD converter (see Baker, fig. 1/no. 46) coupled to the in-phase filter and a second AD converter (see Baker, fig. 1/no. 48) coupled to the quadrature filter.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 5-9 and 18-31 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2685

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pablo Tran whose telephone number is (571)272-7898.

The examiner normal hours are 9:30 -5:00 (Monday-Friday). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban, can be reached at (571)272-7899. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

7. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-directauspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

December 22, 2005

**PABLO N. TRAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER**

